Γεωπονικό Πανεπιστημίο Αθηνών Τμήμα Γεωπονικής Βιοτεχνολογίας Εργαστήριο Μοριακής Βιολογίας

Πρωτεομική προσέγγιση στις τρίχες του φύddov της εdιάς



ΜΕΤΑΠΤΥΧΙΑΚΗ ΔΙΠΛΩΜΑΤΙΚΗ ΕΡΓΑΣΙΑ Αθηνα 2013

		μ	μ	1	μ	20
μμ			(5-7-2011),			μ
μ	μ			μ		

μ



There is no greater sin than having a brilliant idea... Diego Gambetta

 μ μ

μ • μ μ μ μ μ μ • μ μ μ , μ • μ .

μμ μ μ μμ μ , μ μ μ μ .

μ , μ μ μ μ • μ • , μμ • μ μ μ , μ μ

μμ μ μ.

									4 -
	μ								5 -
									7 -
1.		(Olea	Europaea)						7 -
1.1									8 -
1.1.2									9 -
1.2	μ								11 -
1.2.1									11 -
1.2.2			μ						12 -
1.2.3	μ	μ							13 -
1.2.4		μ	μ						14 -
1.2.4.1		μ.							14 -
1.2.4.2		μ							16 -
1.2.5		μ							16 -
1.2.6		μ							18 -
1.3	μ								20 -
1.3.1	μ								20 -
1.3.2	μ				μ	ι			20 -
1.3.2.1		μ							21 -
1.3.2.2									22 -
1.3.2.3		μ							24 -
1.3.2.4	SELDI								25 -
1.3.2.5		μ μ	ι μ					μ	26 -
1.3.3	μμ	l							27 -
1.3.3.1		μ	μ						27 -
1.3.3.2		μ							29 -
1.3.3.3		MS/MS (T	andem MS	5)					32 -
1.3.3.4			μ			μ	μ	μ	32 -
2.									34 -
2.1									34 -
2.1.2	μ								34 -
2.2	μ								35 -
2.2.1		μ							35 -
2.2.2									37 -

μ

2.3		SDS-PAGE					38 -
2.3.1			μ	μ			38 -
2.3.2			μ				41 -
2.3.2.1			μ				48 -
2.4			-			μ	49 -
2.4.1 T		μ		μ	μ	μ	(PMF) 50 -
2.4.2		μ				μ	54 -
2.5							57 -
3.							60 -
3.1		μ	μ				60 -
3.2	μ	μ.					61 -
4.							74 -
5							77 -
6.							78 -
7.							83 -

(Olea Europaea)



1.1 (Olea europaea L)

, Olea europaea (L.), Oleaceae, 25 Olea, μ Syringa, Forsythia, Ligustrum, Fraxinus Phillyrea. Olea μ 30-40 Olea europaea μ , (Johnson, 1957). (L.) μ μ, europaea (), cuspidata (), cerasiformis (laperrinei ()) (Green Wickens, 1989). μ μ μ μ μ . (Colomer *et al.*, 2007). μ Oleaceae (Iwai et al., 2005; () μ Gariboldi et al., 1986). μ μ μ μ

1.

(Omar, 2010)

1.1 μ μ μ , μ μ μ μ μ μ .1.2) (μ μ μ μ μ μ μ μ μ μ μ μ

μμ

•



1.2 ()

μ (Karabourniotis G et al., 1998). μ 1994). (μ μ μ μ μ 4–5 μ μ • μ μ μ μ μ μ . μ μ μ (Karabourniotis G. et al., 1994) (.1.3).



1.1.2



1.

,

. ()
μ	(Ragazzi et al 1973)
	(Le Tutour B Guedon D. 1992.)
	(De Nino A et al 119)
μ	(De Nino A et al 119)
	(Ficarra P et al 1991)
	(Kuwajima H et al 1988)
	(Le Tutour B Guedon D. 1992)
μ	(Ryan D et al 1999)
	(Pieroni A. et al 1006)
1 0	(Dieroni A et al 1006)
7_0	(1100111 A, ct al 1770)
7_0	() (I = Tutour R = Guedon D = 1002)
7_0_	() (Ee Tutour D Oucdoir D. 1992)
,_ U	(De Laurentis N et al 1998)
μ	(De Laurentis N et al 1996) (Pieroni A. et al 1996)
4_0_	$(11010 \text{ If A, et al 1770})$ $(2000 \text{ Pieroni } \Delta \text{ et al 1996})$
7_0_	$(\cdot \cdot$
1991)	(,) (Ficalita i et al
7-0-	(()u) (Le Tutour B Guedon D
1992)	
3 –O–µ	() (Pieroni A, et al 1996)
3 –O–µ	-7-O- (Pieroni A,et al 1996)
•	(De Laurentis N et al 1998)
3-0- µ	() (Pieroni A, et al 1996)
3-0-	() (Ficarra P et al 1991)
	(Le Floch F et al 1998)
	(Heimler D et al 1996)
μ	(Le Floch F et al 1998)
	(Le Floch F et al 1998)
	(Liakopoulos G et al 2001)
μ	(Le Floch F et al 1998)
	(Le Floch F et al 1998)
p– µ	(Liakopoulos G et al 2001)
	(Le Floch F et al 1998)
	(Bryant JP, et al 1983)
	(Bryant JP, et al 1987)

,

,

.



europaea L. Olea europaea L. leaf extract derivatives: antioxidant properties. J. Agric. Food Chem Briante, R et al 2002

1.2 μ

.

1.2.1

μ μ μ μ .1.5). (μ

(Manos, 1993; Bini Maleci et al., 1995; Romeis et al., 1999; Rapisarda et al., 2001) (Ascenasao et al., 2005; Gutterman, 1997; Sanchez-Tinoco Engleman 2004). μ μ μ μ

	μ	μ.									
	μ		μ			μ				μ	
			μ	μ	μ	μ			(papillae))	
		(eme	ergences).	μ	μ				μ		
		,	μμ		μ.	μ	μ	μ		μ	
		μ	μ					μ	h	l,	
	,	μ		μ						μ	
			μ								
μ								μ	ιμ		
						(Uphof,1	.962) (.1.8	3).		
		μ			μμ					μ	
	•	μ					μ		(μ)
(μ)							
().									



. Distortion of trichome morphology by the hairless mutation of tomato affects leaf surface chemistry. Kang et al 2010.



1986; Uphof, 1962; Karabourniotis et al, 1994).





1.2.3 μ μ

 μ μ , μ μ (Solereder, 1908; Hummel Staesche, 1962; Uphof, 1962; Metcalfe Chalk, 1979; Fahn, 1991).

 μ (Theopald *et al*, 1979) (.1.8).

μ : μ (indumentum).

 $\begin{array}{cccc} \mu & \mu & (indumentum). \\ \mu & (& , & \mu &) \\ \mu & \mu & & , , , \\ \end{array}$

μ μ μ μ μ μ (Papillae), :) μ) μ μ (*simple, unbranched*), µ μ μ μ **μ** (Thickened), μ μ (Thin), μ μ .) (two- to μ μ μ *five-armed*) (stellate),) μ μ (μ) μ ,) μ (scales, or peltate), μ μ μ , , μμ μ μ μ μ μ rotate μ μ) μ (dendritic – branched), μ

. μ μ

. μ μ

μ μ μ : • μ .

• .

	μ.	μ. μμ μμ μ. μ. μ.	μ,	,). (, μ ,). μ .
1.2.4	μ	μ		
	μ	μ		μ
1.2.4.1	μ			
	μ	, μ	μ	μ
μ, μ	, , ,	, (Peterson μ	, Vermee	, , r,1984; Fahn, 1991). μ . μ μ
۲.	μ (Far)	μ μ nh, 1991).	μ μ μ	(μ (Distmort 1084)
Kelsey et al.	, 1984; Lewin, 1	μ 973; Taiz μ	Zeiger, 199	μ (Dietmar, 1984; 91; Swain, 1977). , μ (Swain, 1977;
Taiz Zeige	er, 1991).			(Swain, 1777,

				μ	μ	
μ •	μ		Urticaceae	Euphorbiace	eae	
μ		μ		μ		
		μ		μ	μ	
		(Haberland, 191-	4; Fanh,1979).		
•	μ	Stylosan	thes		μ	
		Boophilus i	nicroplus			μ
	μ		μ	(Wager, 19	91).	
•	μ	Nicotiana sp.				
•					μ	
μ		μ		μ	Mentha p	iperita
•		•	μ Βα	ombyx morii (K	elsey et al, 1	984).

•		μ	μ μ	(Lycopersic	con escul	entum)	
Heliothis	zea.		1				
•		μ	Solanum	polademium	μ	μμ	μ
ų	ι μ		μ				
(Kelsey et al,	1984).						
•	μ			μ		(colleters).	
μ	μ						
			•				,
				μ	•		
•						,	
Pinguicula.				,	μμ	μ.	
μμ				μ			
μ		μ				μ,	
	μ						



 $\begin{array}{ccc} \textbf{1.7} \ \textbf{3} & \mu & \text{cannabis. 1.Bulbous 2.Capitate-Sessile 3.Capitate-Stalked. Cannabis-Science.com} \end{array}$



lycopersicum. Bars = 100 μ m. TrichOME: A Comparative Omics Database for Plant Trichomes Dai, X., et al 2010.

1.2.4.2

μ

μ μ μ μ lumen). (μ μ μ μ μ μ μ (Uphof,1962). μ μ μ μ (Fahn, 1991; Uphof, 1962; Johnson, 1975). μ μ μ μ μ (Johnson, μ 1975; Ehleringer, 1984). μ (Sorbus, Mespilus, Salix). μ μ μ μ μ μ Malvaceae, Tiliaceae Quercus μ μ μ : μ 1. μ μ,μ μ μ μ (bladders) (papillae) μ μ (vesicular hairs). μ (squamiform) 2. μ μ μ μ μμ Olea μ μ Cruciferae). 3. μ μ μ μ (stellate) (. . Styrax) (candelabrum-like) (. . Platanus μ Verbascum). 4. μ, μ , Portulaca μ Compositae (Fahn, 1986). leracea, Schizanthus, μ 1.2.5 μ μ μ μ Cutler 1992; Uphof, 1962; Johnson, 1975). (Fahn, 1991; Fahn μ 1. μ μ (Ehleninger, 1984; Bongi et al, 1987). 2. (Miller, 1931; Nobel, 1983; Fahn Cutler, 1992). 3. (Haberlandt, 1914; Karabourniotis et al, 1998). (Karabourniotis et al, 1992; *4*. Skaltsa et al, 1994; Grammatikopoulos et al, 1994).







1.3 μ . (Wagner et al., 2004)

/	μ
μ μ	
μμ	
(UV)	
μ	
μμ	
μ	
μ	
μ μ Ca++	
μ	
μ	
μ	

1.2.6 μ

μ μ μ μ μ μ (scales peltate hairs) (Fahn, 1991). μ μμ μ μ μ μ (.1.9). μ μ μ μ μ, μ μ μ μμ μ μ μ μ μ

(Karabourniotis et al, 1995).



Olea Europaea

μ μ μ Oleaceae (Uphof, 1962). μ μ μ μ μ (Fahn, 1986; Karabourniotis et al., 1996). μ (papilla) μμ μ μ μ μ μ, μ papilla , μ (Karabourniotis et al., 1998). μμ , μ . (Fahn, 1986). μ μ μ μ μ. μ μ • n μ μ



(Karabourniotis et al., 1994; 1995).



pharmacognosy of Olea europaea subsp. africana (Oleaceae) H.S. Long, et al., 2009

1.3 μ

1.3.1 μ

		μ	(Proteo	mics)	μ			(
	Proteomics µ			1	994).			
				μ	μ			μ
μ			μ					•
		μ			μ			μ,
						μ	(μ,
	, ,),	μ	,			,	μ -
μ				μ				
		,	μ					
		•			μ	μ		,
		μ			:			

- μ μ : μ μ μ μ (NMR) • μ : μ (Yeast Two-hybrid), (Motif Analysis), (Deletion Analysis), (Ligand Chips)

μ μ μ μ μ, , μ μ μ μ μ μ . μ μ μ μ (μ μ μ) μ μ μ μ , μ μ μ μ μ μ ,

μ (Vlahou A, Fountoulakis M 2005).

1.3.2 μ μ μ μ μ μ μ μ μ μ μ . SELDI μ , MALDI-MS ESI-MS. μ μ μ μ μ μ μ (1) μ SELDI (2). μ μ

μμ,,

μ

μ μ μ μ , D R . H μ μ () μ (μ) , (z) (), (f). = z / fμ Z μ μ μ μ μ \mathbf{f} μ μ μ μ μ μ μ μ μ μ μ μ, μ μ μ μ μ μ μ μ μ μ , μ μ μ μ . μ μ μ (MBA) (E .1.11). μ μ μμ μ



•

,μ μ μ μ μ μ μ μ μ μ μ μ μ , (ammonium persulfate, APS) μμ : -1,2- µ -TEMED (N,N,N,N-), μ APS. μ μ μ μ,μμ (%) μ μ μ (%C, crosslinker) μ , μ μ μ μ . μ μ

1.3.2.2

μ μ μ μμ • μ (O' Farell PH 1975), μ μ μ • 1975. μ μ μ μ μ . pН μ μ μ μ μ μ μ ~ **»** (μ) μ μ pН (pI). μ μ μ μ pН μ μ μ pH<pI, pH>pI. μ

 μ , μ (Berkelman T, Stenstedt T).

Svensson: DpI = [D[d(pH)/dx]:E[-du/d(pH)]]1/2

DpI: D: : µ d(pH)/dx: du/d(pH):	μ	(V/cm) pH					
			μ				μ
μ	, μ	μ pH		μ	μ	μ	

. 2 μ SDS-PAGE. μ μ

,





 1.12.
 μ
 μ
 :

 μ
 μ
 μ
 μ





μ

,

μ (Karamessinis MP 2004).





 μ Western μ . . (Karamessinis MP 2004).





2 . O **1.14**. .: μ μ μ μ .: μ μ H2 . μ μ μ μ μ μ μ μ μ μ μ μ μ -μ , .1.14). (





1.15. μ μ .



1.3.2.4 SELDI



hip.) μ chip . μ chip μ μ μ μ chip.) .)

(MALDI-TOF).



	1.16		SELI chip.	DI-TO	F-MS F	ProteinChip.	μμ	μ	μ	
μ	μ μ	μ	μ	μ	μ (۳ MALDI).	μ . μ	,		
μ μ ,					μ			μ	chij	ρμ μ μ

1.3.2.5 μ μ μ Ш

	μ				
	μ	μ			
	μ	μ	μ	, μ	
(μ),	μμ
	μ	,			

μ	μ	μ	-μ	(0'
Farell PH 1975).		μ	μ	μ
	μ		•	

μ	,	٣	μ		,		μ	,
)	μ	μ		,	μ	pH (

			μ		Ļ	l			
		μ			μ		μ		
				μ		μ			•
					μ		μ	μ	
	2.		μ	μμ		μ		μ	
								μ	μ
μ		μ	•	μ	μ	μ			
							μ		

μ



1.3.3 µ µ

		μ	μμ	μ μ
	μ,	μ	μ	
μ	ι μμ	μ.	μ	μ
	μ,	μ,		μ
μμ	μ (.1.17).		









μ (Domon B, Aebersold R 2006).

1.3.3.1 μ μ

		μ	μ				μ			
	μ	μ	μ	μ			μ			
	μ	μ	μ			μ	μ	μ		•
		μ			μ	μ	μ			,
DNA			μ			μ			μ	

μ			μ		μ		μ		•	ł	μ						
		μ		μ			μ		μ				μ				
	μ							μ	μ		μ			μ	•		μ
	μ	μ				μ						μ	μ		μ	,	
	μ		μ														
		и.															



 $\begin{array}{cccc} \textbf{1.18.} & \mu & \mu & \mu & \mu \\ \text{John Fenn (ESI), Franz Hillenkamp} & \text{Michael Karas} \,. \end{array}$

• ESI

μ μ μ μ μ

(.1.19). $\mu \ \mu \ \mu$ μ (Fenn JB et al., 1989; Whitehouse CM et al., 1985). Nano-ESI $\mu \ \mu \ \mu$ $\mu \ \mu$

• MALDI

		μ			μ	ļ	L	μ	μ		
μ	(n	natrix)					μ	μ		μ	
		μ					(plate,	chip)			
	•						μ				
μ	μ	matrix	-					μ			
() μ								+1
(H	illenka	amp F et a	al., 1991	; Karas M	et al., 19	87) (.1.20).				
		MA	LDI]	ESI			μ		μ	•
	μ	μ μ	μ	μ	μ		MALDI				μ
						μ			μ		
	μ	μ	.]	MALDI	μ						
		μ	μ.	ES	ĺμ			μ	μ		
	μ		μ						μ		
			μμ	•							







				μ		μ	
		μ:)	μ	μ		
(T	ime of Fl	ight-TOF	F).)	(Quadrupo	ole).)	(Ion
Trap).							
• TOF							
	**	»	μ		(μ	μ)
	()				·	•

(.1.21).



μ RE, Hughes RJ 1989; March RE 1997)



μ



μ

(March



MS/MS (Tandem MS) 1.3.3.3

To MS/MS	μ						
μ		μμ		μ.	MS	S/MS	
		μ				μ	
		(Marzo A,		Bo LD 2007) (.1.25).	MS	/MS
		μ	μ	ESI		μ	μ



μ

μ μ μ

.

1.3.3.4

μ μ μ

μ μ) (μ μ μ μ μ μ . (μμ DNAμ μ μ) μ μ • 2 (μ μ, μ , μ) (in gel digestion) μ μ μ μ) μ ((), (R) (P) (.1.26). μ 5% 6% μ μ (Kinter M, Sherman NE). μ 11 μ μ 100 µ 9 μ μ μ μ . ,



Εικόνα 1.26. Πέψη μιας τυχαίας πρωτεϊνικής αλληλουχίας με θρυψίνη. Φαίνονται τα σημεία πέψης καθώς και τα προκύπτοντα πεπτίδια.

Μετά την πέψη, τα πεπτίδια ταυτοποιούνται με ΦΜ καθώς οι παρατηρούμενες πεπτιδικές μάζες συσχετίζονται με πεπτιδικά αποτυπώματα (peptide fingerprints-λίστα πεπτιδίων που έχει προκύψει από τη θεωρητική πέψη μίας πρωτεΐνης και εμφανίζονται με συγκεκριμένα πρότυπα στο φάσμα πέψης της) μέσω μηχανών αναζήτησης/αλγορίθμων (Εικ.1.27). Η παραπάνω διαδικασία είναι γνωστή και ως Peptide Mass Fingerprinting (PMF). Ένα φάσμα μάζας πεπτιδικού μείγματος που προκύπτει από την πέψη μίας πρωτεΐνης από συγκεκριμένο ένζυμο παρέχει τόσο μεγάλη ειδικότητα στο αποτέλεσμα, που συχνά είναι δυνατό να γίνει ταυτοποίηση μίας πρωτεΐνης από αυτή και μόνο την πληροφορία.



Εικόνα 1.27. Στο κάτω μέρος της εικόνας παρατηρείται το θεωρητικό φάσμα πέψης (καταχωρημένο σε βάση δεδομένων) μίας πρωτεΐνης και στο πάνω μέρος το πειραματικό. Το δεύτερο συσχετίζεται και συγκρίνεται με το πρώτο με ειδικούς αλγόριθμους, ώστε να ταυτοποιηθεί το μόριο που αναζητείται. www.matrixscience.com

Η πιο διαδεδομένη μέθοδος για την ταυτοποίηση πρωτεϊνών στην Πρωτεωμική Ανάλυση είναι η φσματομετρία μάζας τύπου MALDI (Lahm HW. και Langen H 2000, ; Karas M. και Hillenkamp F 1988). Η μέθοδος αυτή είναι αρκετά ευαίσθητη, απαιτεί μικρές ποσότητες δείγματος και μπορεί άνετα να χρησιμοποιηθεί για πειράματα υψηλής ρυθμοαπόδοσης (Görg A et al 2004). 2. 2.1





2.2 µ

μ	μ
	Wang W. et al 2003.»

2.2.1 μ

	μ	(Phenol/SDS)		
•	бg	μ μ 10% w/w quart	z sand.	
٠	3	(-20 C) 100%		
٠	μ	μ	5	10.500rpm
	4°C			
٠		μ		
٠		μ 2		
٠		μ μ		
٠	1,5g	falcon 50mL		
٠	25ml	μ μ		
٠	μ	5		
٠		20 10.500rpm		
٠		corex		
٠	7	μ μ		
٠		$corex -20^{\circ}C 2$		
٠		30 10.500rpm		
•		μ		
•	4mL	μμ	μ	
•		15 10.500rpm		
•		μ		
•		μ		
•	4mL	(-20 C) 80%		μ
•		20 10.500rpm		
•		μ		
•		μ		
•	μ	μ μ	0000	
•	μ	μ	-80°C	
		(1))	
•	μ 215mg	(μ falcon)	
•	215mg /	(-20 C) 100%		
•			5	10 500rpm
-	4°C	r		1010001111
•		u		
•		μ 2		

• µ µ

•		7ml						
•		μ		(sonication)				
•		7ml		pH 8				
•		μ		μ			10	10.500rpm
٠						falcor	n ~2,5ml	
•		4		μ	μ			
٠			falcon		-20°C	30		
٠			15		10.50	0rpm		
٠			μ					
٠		2ml	μ	μ				μ
٠			10		10.50	0rpm		
٠			μ					
•			μ					
٠		2ml	(-2	0 C)		80%		μ
•			15		10.50	0rpm		
•			μ					
•			μ					
•	μ					μ	μ	
•	μ		μ					-80°C

μ (TCA/)

٠	100µl	eppendorf									
•		1ml	μ	TC	A/ 10	%					
•			eppende	orfs	-20°C	1					
•			30		13.000rpm		4°C				
•			μ								
٠	μ				μ		μ				
٠			μ		μ KCl 50r	nm					
•			10		13.000rpm		4°C				
•			μ		eppendorf						
•		10		μ	TCA10%						
•			eppende	orfs	-20°C ~ 1	16					
•			30		13.000rpm		4°C				
•			μ								
•		4ml	(-2	20 C)	80%	ó		μ			
•			20		10.500rpm						
•			μ								
•			μ								
٠	μ				μ		μ				
•	μ		μ					-80°C			
(Extraction buffer)

30% sucrose (Fluka),
2% SDS (Scharlau)
0.1M Tris-HCl pH 7,4, (Fluka)
5% 2-mercaptoethanol (Sigma)
2,5mM EDTA (Scharlau)

SDS Sonication buffer

30% sucrose 2% SDS 0.1M Tris-HCl pH 8.0 10mM 2-mercaptoethanol 2,5mM EDTA

μ TCA/

10%TCA (Riele	de Haen)	(Scharlau)
0,07% -mercap	otoethanol	
μ		(KCl)

μ 100mm Tris-HCL pH 6,8 50mm KCl 0,01% Triton-x

2.2.2

Braford Bradford Coomassie μ blue G-250 μ 1.15, μ μра 1.82 12.4. μ μ 470 nm 650 nm μ μ μ μ 595 nm. μ , μ μ μ μ μ μμ . 595 nm. (Kruger 1994). μ

μ

μ

standard	BSA (C)	BSA (V)	Bradford reagent
1	0mg/ml	10 µl	1 ml
2	0.2mg/ml	10 µ1	1 ml
3	0.4mg/ml	10 µl	1 ml
4	0.6mg/ml	10 µl	1 ml
5	0.8mg/ml	10 µl	1 ml
6	1mg/ml	10 µl	1 ml

μ μ

•	μ	$2\mu l + 18\mu l H_2O$	
•	1 ml	Bradford	

•	1 ml		Bradford	•	
• μ		μ	5	45	595
nm					

μ

 μ BRADFORD (BioRad) 4 μ 1μ stock μ 4 C μ μ . BSA () μ

10mg/mL stock μ (BioRad) μ μ μ

μ .

2.3 **SDS-PAGE**

2.3.1			μ	μ	•
	μ	μ		μ	μ

μ (SDS-

μ

μ

PAGE).

•	l	μ μ	μ μ	2.1.	μ	
•	μμ	μ		μ	μ	μ
		30	μ		μμ	

μ μ μ μ μ . μ μ μ 30

- 1 μ μ μμ μ.
- μ

16-20mA • •

μ. μ

μ

.

μ Coommasie

4.1

	μ		
	μ		
	8%	10%	12%
Н2О	4,6ml	4ml	3,3ml
30% µ	2,7ml	3,3ml	4ml
1,5 ris pH 8.8	2,5ml	2,5ml	2,5ml
10% SDS	0,1ml	0,1ml	0,1ml
10% APS	0,1ml	0,1ml	0,1ml
TEMED	0,006ml	0,004ml	0,004ml
	μ 5n	nl stacking ge	1 5%
μ			
	5%		
H2O	3,4ml		
30% µ	0,83ml		
1,5 ris pH 8.8	0,63ml		
10% SDS	0,05ml		
10% APS	0,05ml		
TEMED	0,005ml		

μ μ mini protean[®] 3 system Bio μ Microcomputer electrophoresis power supply Consort E865

Rad

μ Coommasie

а ·	D '11' (0	20 60		μ		μ	
Coommasie	Brilliant	Blue R25	0	30-60					
			μ				μ		μ
μ	μ II	μ	3	μ	20		•		μ
μ	μ		5		20		•		
μ	•								
•							μ		μ
		(Fixing	g solu	tion)	60			•	
•		μ			15			•	
•			μ		μ				
	μ μ	$ddH_2O 5$							
•					μμ		(Developing	solution)	,
					μ				
	•								
•		μμ	l			μ	(Sto	op develoj	ping
solut	ion).								
• 1	ı		μ				μ		
1	5								
μ									
				()					
μμ 100 Μ.Τ:		μ		$(2 \times \text{sam})$	iple buffe	er):			
	s – HCI p	0H 0,8							
4% SDS									
$10\% -\mu$									
0.2% 2004		μ							
20%									
			ш						
	u	u	:	29.2%		u	(acrvlamide).	0.8%	_
μ	(bis-acry	/lamide).		-,		•		- ,	
μ	μ	μ		Ļ	ı (reso	lving	gel buffer): 1.5	5 M Tris-	HCl
, pH 8.8 (Low	ver Tris).	•		•	× ·	0	<i>c</i> ,		
μ	μ	μ			(stac	cking	gel buffer): 0.5	M Tris-	HCl
pH 6.8 (Upp	er Tris).					U			
μ	μ			(Tan	k Buffei	:): 25	mM Tris, 192	mM glyc	cine,
0.1% SDS.	,								
10% µ	ammon	ium per sı	ılfate	(APS)					
20% μ	SDS								
50% µ									
Temed									

μ Coomasie. μ 40% μ (CH₄O), 10% (CH3COOH) 0,1% Coomasie Brilliant Blue R250. μ μ μ. 30% µ (CH₄O), 10% (CH3COOH). μ (Fixation Solution). μ 50% µ (CH₄O), 0,1% μ 38% (CH₂O) (Sensitizing Solution). μ μ 1% (NaOH) 7,56%, 25% μμ (NH3) μ 1% AgNO₃ 4,7 (w/v) (Developing Solution). μ μ $(C_6H_8O_7), 0.05\% (v/v)$ 2,5% (w/v) (CH₂O). μ (Stop Developing Solution). μ 45% μ (CH₄O), 2% (CH3COOH) (Fixation Solution). μ 40% µ (CH_4O)

2.3.2

μ

(1) μ μ μ μ μ) (Immobilized pH Gradient Strips IPG Strips) (Bjellqvist et al. 1982). pH (μμ μ pН μ μ μ μ μ μ μ μ μ μ μ (CH₂=CH-CO-NH-R, R μ μ μ , acrylamido buffers, μ μ) μ pH. μ μ μ 2 μ μ μ μ μ . (Dry Strips), (Non Cup Loading Method) μ μ μ (). μ μ μ). μ (μ μ (rehydration buffer) μ μ μ μ cups (Cup Loading Method). μ μ μ μ , μ μ 400µl 17-18cm (μ μ μ 125µl 250-300µl 17-18cm 50-100µl 7cm

7cm). μ μ μ μ μ μ μ μ cups. μ μ μ (Cup Loading Method):) μ μ μ μ μ μ) μ . pH 6-11, μ μ cup (Berkelman Stenstedt 1998). μ μ μ μ μ .

NON CUP LOADING METHOD: μ μ μμ μ μ 600µg 300µ1 2mg μ 18cm 7cm 75µg 800µg 150µl μ μ μ . (gel side down) μ μ .2.3) (μ. μ mineral oil, μ μ IHE.



2.3.) μ B)

μ



 $$\mu$ μ μ μ μ μ (gel side down) (Garfin 2001).$

μ

μμ :

Non cup loading

18cm Rehydration: 50Volts, 16 hrs Focusing temperature: 20°C TAK 7cm Rehydration: 50Volts, 12 hrs Focusing temperature: 20°C Step 1: 250Volts, rapid 2hrsStep 1: 300Volts, rapid 3hrsStep 2: 5.000Volts, linear 24hrsStep 2: 4.000Volts, linear 5hrsStep 3: 5.000Volts, rapid 24hrsStep 3: 4000Volts, rapid 12.500vhrsStep 4: 500Volts, rapid 48 hrsStep 4: 100volts, rapid 48hrsCurrent: 99µ /gelCurrent: 99µ /gel



CUP LOADING METHOD: μ μ μ (μ μ non cup loading method, μ) .2.5). μ mineral oil 12-(18 μ Sherman 2000). 3mm (Kinter μ ,μ (gel side up). μ μ ,μ

μ μ μ



2.4. μ cups .(Garfin 2001).



2.5. μ μ cup loading.



, µ mineral oil

	180	cm		μ			600µg	
2mg	300µ1	μ					150µl	cup
				150µl	cup			
7	cm		μ			800µg		150µl
μ		cup					75	5µl
cup				75ul.				

μμ :

Cup loading

18cm	TAK 7cm
Focusing temperature: 20°C	Focusing temperature: 20°C
Step 1: 300Volts, rapid 3hrs	Step 1: 300Volts, rapid 3hrs
Step 2: 5.000Volts, linear 24hrs	Step 2: 4.000Volts, linear 5hrs
Step 3: 5.000Volts, rapid 24hrs	Step 3: 4000Volts, rapid 12.500vhrs
Step 4: 500Volts, rapid 48 hour	Step 2: 100Volts, rapid 48hrs
Current: 99µ /gel	Current: 99µ /gel

 $\begin{array}{cccc} \mu & , \\ \mu & -20 \text{ C} & 3 \mu , \mu \\ \mu & \mu \text{ parafilm.} & \mu \\ \mu & \text{non cup loading method} & \text{cup loading method.} \end{array}$

μ





	2.6.		pН	μ
μ	pH 3-10.	μ		μμ
μ		μ		,

(Berkelman Stenstedt 1998).

Mineral Oil (BioRad)

μ

 $\begin{array}{ccc} \mu & \mu & \mu \\ (non \ cup \ loading \ method & cup \ loading \ method) \end{array}$

Rehydration Buffer

μ	μ	μ	μ	(sample buffer),	
μ		:)		8M 7,)

(μ - DTE) (μ μ) μ . . , μ SDS, μ

. stock μ (equilibration buffer) μ -20°C aliquots 50mL μ μ .

- μ μ μ I, μ DTE stock μ .
- μ μ . 10ml 20ml equilibration buffer I TAK 7cm 18cm 15 strips 18cm 10 strips 7cm μ μ
- μ μ , μ stock μ .
- μ equilibration buffer I
 10ml
 20ml
 equilibration buffer II
 TAK 7cm
 18cm
 15
 strips
 18cm
 10
 strips
- μ
 μ
 μ
 μ
 μ
 μ
 - μ

> 300mL μ . μμ μ. μ ,μ .

μ

 $\begin{array}{ccc} \mu & (Equilibration \ buffer) \ I \\ equilibration \ stock \ buffer \ DTE \ (Fluka) \\ 0,5\%(w/v) \ (30mM). \\ \mu & (Equilibration \ buffer) \ II \\ equilibration \ stock \ buffer \ \mu \\ (Fluka) \ 4,32\%(w/v) \ (230m \). \end{array}$

H (SDS-PAGE)

(\times \times μ μ μ) $16 \text{cm} \times 18 \text{cm} \times 1,5 \text{mm}$ (), 7,5cm \times 10cm \times 1,5mm (μ μ 12% μ) μ μ . μ μ , μ μ μ • , μ μ 0,5% 1x TGS, μ (μ), μ . μ μ , μ μ μ 12-15 μ μ 6mA/ 4-5 μ μ 40mA/ μ μ μ μ . μμ μ μ μ μ μ μ μ μ 1mm μ • μ 2 μμ μ (200mL μ μ 100mL μ), μ μ μ μ μ μ μ (Kinter Sherman 2000). μ Coomassie Colloidal Blue (200mL μ 100mL μ μ μ μ). μ μ μ μ . μ μ μ μ . μ μ μ μ μ • μ μ μ .

п			
μ	μ		
	μ		μ
J	μ		
μ	μ	12%	μ,

Acrylamide/piperazine-di-acrylamide (37,5:1 w/v) (Biosolve): 12% Tris-HCl pH 8,8 (BioRad): 0,375M SDS (BioRad): 0,1%(v/v) Ammonium persulfate (Fluka): 0,05%(v/v) TEMED (AppliChem): 0,05%(v/v)

μ

μ,μμ μμ μ, μ μ μ.

	0,.	5%(w/v)	(Sigma)	1x TGS (μ	μ
).		
		μ	. H		4°C.	
	μ		μ	μ,		
μ.				,		μ
μ	μ	,			μ	μ
	(TC	GS).				

μ	μ	(TGS)		
	μ	μ TGS 10x (E	BioRad)	1x. To
TGS 1x	: Tris-HCl	25mM pH 8,3,	192mM, SDS 0,1%(v/v).	

μ μ Coomassie Colloidal Blue stain (Sigma) 30%(v/v) (Panreac) 10%(v/v)

Н	Coomassie Colloidal Blue	μ	
	,	de	staining.
	μ	μ	μ
		Coomassie Blu	le (
μμ		0,2-1pmol) (Kinter S	Sherman
2000).			

2.3.2.1 μ

.

 μ Coomassie Colloidal Blue μ μ





- μ GS800 Calibrated Densitometer (BioRad)

.

μ μ (Image analysis) PDQuest 2D-Gel analysis Software v. 7.2.0.(BioRad)

2.4 - μ μ μμμ(..2.8) μ μ, μ





2.8.) 96-well microtiter plate) μ (Gel Picker) μ μ μ , , , 2 μ , μ 3 <u>Bruker Daltonics Proteineer SpII</u> (Bruker Daltonics)

μ		μ		
2	μ		μ	SPControl 3
Melanie 4				



	μμ			μ		
•	μ			μ		96-well
	microtiter plate,	μ	μ	μμ	μ	
•	150µl	destaini	ng soluti	on	-	15

- 2 destaining solution. $\mu \mu$, Coomassie μ .
- 150μl , μ μ
 destaining solution 5 .
 μ 150μl 150μl
- μμ 5.
- 96-well microtiter plate speed vac 45 ,
- 3 μl μ (30ng) μ μμ μ μ μ μ μ .
- μ μ μ
- μ , 10μl extraction solution
 εxtraction solution
- μμ μ $10 \text{ mL} \mu$ (matrix) 10µ1 μ μ μ 5µl ACTH. ACTH μ (calibration standards) μ μ . μ 1µl matrix µ • calibration standards (
- calibration standards (μ μ μ). μ . • μ μ .
- μ μ (flex control) (. 2.12)

μ μ μ μ (μ .2.10), () μ μ μ μ • μ μ μ μ (μ 337 nm) μμ μ ,μ μ () μ μ μ .2.11). μ μ μ (() μ μ μ μ μ μ μ

μ.



2.10. μ

	μ	•	μ	
- μ			μ	
		2	[*] .(Cmatrix	=
100	х	Cpolymer.	μ	
μ				μ
μ	μ		μ	
	μ			



 μ , μ (Peptide Mass Fingerprint) (.2.14)





2.12 Maldi-TOF Flex control





MALDI-TOF





Query Masses	Database Mass List	Results
450.2201 609.3667 698.3100 1007.5391 1199.4916 2098.9909	450.2017 (P21234) 609.2667 (P12345) 664.3300 (P89212) 1007.4251 (P12345) 1114.4416 (P89212) 1183.5266 (P12345) 1300.5116 (P21234) 1407.6462 (P21234) 1526.6211 (P89212) 1593.7101 (P89212) 1740.7501 (P21234) 2098.8909 (P12345)	2 Unknown masses 1 hit on P21234 3 hits on P12345 Conclude the query protein is P12345

 $\begin{array}{c} \textbf{2.15.} & \mu & \mu \\ \mu & . www.matrixscience.com \end{array}$

2.4.2	μ			μ
	μ	μ	μ	μ
	μ.		μ	MASCOT
(.2.16)	μ μ μ			μ
μ			μ	
μμ	μ.		μ	
μ			μ	
μ	μ	μ		
μ	(MacCoss MJ 2005).			
	μ		μ	
	,	μ	in silico	
μ				

		μ-μ		
	μ	μ μ	μ	,
	μ	μ	•	,
	μμ			
μ	μ			
μ	μ	μ	*	»
	u	(MacCoss MJ 2005).		

MASCO	T Peptide Mass Fi	ngerprint		
Your name	Rietta Wund	Email	Feetta.nvlundtstuk.ll	
Search ttle	0			
Database	NCBINE 🗶			
Taxonomy	All entries			
Enzyme	Trypsin 👱	Allow up to	1 missed deavages	
Fixed modifications	Botin (k) Botin (N-term) Carbamyl (K) Carbamyl (K)	Variable medifications	NIPCAM (C) 018 (C-term) Oxidation (MW) 0xidation (HW) PEO-Biotin (C)	L L L
Protein mass	kDa	Peptide tol. a	70 [ppm 🕑	
Mass values	Pana Cay	Manalsotapic	S Average C	
Data file		Bowse .		
Query NB Contents of this field are ignored if a datafile is specified.	1504,793 1741,873 1759,905 1841,007 1879,905 1879,905 1996,006			1 1
Overview	Г	Report top	20 hits	
	Start Search		Reset Form	
16	(Mascot)			



μ .2.17). (

μ

μμ

35ppm.

3-4

μ (Matching peptides limit).



μμ μ μ : « ».

μ

Destaining Solution

NH₄ CO₃ (Fluka): 50mM (Sigma): 30%(v/v)

BIOCHROM

μ	
(Roche): 10ng/µl	
$NH_4 CO_3$ (Fluka): 10m	
Extraction Solution	
(Sigma): 50%(v/v)	
TFA (Merck): 0,1%(v/v)	
Matrix –cyano–4–hydroxycinnamic acid Stock μ SIGMA	l (Sigma): 0,025%(v/v) ACTH
Target plates AnchorChip TM (Bruker Daltonics) target plates	,

μ, μμ. μ

μ Bruker Ultraflex MALDI TOF

μ	μ	txt.	spot		accession
number		NCBI ((protein)		multi
fasta.			μ	μ	Blast2go
(Götz et al, 2008).					



fasta		μ	blast µ	μ
	2.20.			

-			
asic Advanced			
NOTE: Please when using the N in parallel and provide always yo Your e-mail (for NCBI Blast):	ICBI BLAST service our e-mail address!	do not run several	Blast2GO
Blast Program	blastp		
Blast DB	swissprot		
Blast ExpectValue	1.0E-6		
Number of Blast Hits	20		
Save results as	🕑 xml	🔲 text	🛄 html
Blast Desc. Annotator	2		
Log:			

2.20 μ run Blast step.

			(mappi	ng)	μ.
	μ		μ	(Gene Ontolog	y terms, GO
terms)					μ
blast (2.21).	μ			
GO	terms.				

B Mapping: Linking BLAST Hits to functional information (GO Terms)

Please press the "START" button to start the mapping step. Successfully mapped sequences will turn green.

Blast2GO performs different mapping steps to link all BLAST Hits to the funtional information stored in the Gene Ontology database. Therefore Blast2GO uses different public resources provided by the NCBI, PIR and GO to link the different protein IDs (names, symbols, GIs, UniProts, etc.) to the information stored in the Gene Ontology database - the GO database contains several million functionally annotated gene products for hundreds of different species. All annotations are associated to and Evidence Code which provides information about the quality of this functional assignment.

2.21 Mapping step.

	,			μ	Annotation.	
GO terms				μ	Mapping	
			μ		μ	μ
		•	μ		:	

Annotation Configuration			
F I			
E-Value-Hit-Filter	1.0E-6	- 8	
Annotation CutOff	55	8	
GO Weight	5		
Hsp-Hit Coverage CutOff	0	?	

2.22 μ annotation step.



2.23 Load kegg pathways.

μ μ μ eppendorf -80°C. μ











UV μμ



(sonication)

μ



 3.4
 CBB

 Lane 1 20% power - 25% Pulse - 8 min

 Lane 2
 μ

 Lane 3 20% power - 25% Pulse - 8 min

 Lane 4 30% power - 20% Pulser - 15 min



μ μ μ μ

μ



 3.5
 CBB

 Lane 1 25% power - 25% Pulse - 8 min

 Lane 2
 μ

 Lane 3 20% power - 20% Pulse - 12 min

 Lane 4 20% power - 25% Pulser -10 min

,

(sonication)



μ



3.6 μ μ μ sonication TCA/acetone



3.8 μ μ sonication sonication buffer



 $\begin{array}{ccc} \textbf{3.7} & \mu & \mu & 15 \\ \text{sonication} & \text{TCA/acetone} \end{array}$



 $\begin{array}{ccc} \textbf{3.9} & \mu & \mu & 15 \\ \text{sonication} & \text{sonication buffer} \end{array}$











3.12 μ μμ 1-2-3 μ 4-5-6

 $\mu\mu$ 10% w/w .

B) μ

 $\begin{array}{ccc} \mu & & \\ \mu & \mu & \mu & Phenol/sds \end{array}$ TCA/acetone μ



μ

- μ μ



2 μ μ



2



3.16 Maxi gel 18cm 1,4mg 1 : 3-10NL 18cm 2 : 12% μ CBB





μ

3.18 0,8mg







3.20 2mg



μ





3.23 0,8mg



3.24 1,4mg



3.25 2mg












, μ KEGG (Kyoto Encyclopedia of

μ

Genes and Genomes).

μ

Pathway	No					
Amino Acid Metabolism						
Alanine, aspartate and glutamate metabolism						
Arginine and proline metabolism						
Phenylalanine metabolism						
Phenylalanine, tyrosine and tryptophan biosynthesis						
Lysine degradation	1					
Tryptophan metabolism						
Valine, leucine and isoleucine biosynthesis	1					
Metabolism of other Amino Acids						
Glutathione metabolism	1					
Translation						
Aminoacyl-Trna biosynthesis	2					
Nucleotide Metabolism						
Pyrimidine metabolism	2					
Pyruvate metabolism	2					
Lipid Metabolism						
Fatty acid elongation	1					
Fatty acid metabolism	1					
Primary bile acid biosynthesis	2					
Metabolism of terpenoids and polyketides						
Geraniol degradation	1					
Biosynthesis of other Secondary Metabolites						
Flavone and flavonol biosynthesis	1					
Flavonoid biosynthesis	3					
Indole alkaloid biosynthesis	1					
Isoflavonoid biosynthesis	1					
Isoquinoline alkaloid biosynthesis	1					
Phenylpropanoid biosynthesis	1					
Xenobiotics Biodegradation and Metabolism						
Drug metabolism - cytochrome P450	1					
Toluene degradation	1					
Aminobenzoate degradation	1					
Metabolism of xenobiotics by cytochrome P450	1					
Styrene degradation	1					
Caprolactam degradation	1					
Metabolism of cofactors and vitamins						
Thiamine metabolism	2					
Porphyrin and chlorophyll metabolism	1					
Carbohydrate metabolism						
Amino sugar and nucleotide sugar metabolism	1					
Butanoate metabolism	1					
Glycolysis / Gluconeogenesis	1					
Glyoxylate and dicarboxylate metabolism	1					
Pyruvate metabolism	2					
Pentose and glucuronate interconversions	1					
Starch and sucrose metabolism	3					
Energy metabolism						
Methane metabolism	3					
Nitrogen metabolism	2					
Oxidative phosphorylation	1					
Carbon fixation in photosynthetic organisms	2					
Carbon fixation pathways in prokaryotes	2					

μ μ μμ μ μ μ μ μ μ μ μ μ μ phenol/SDS μ μ methanol/ammonium acetate 0,1M. μ 2D (1D μ) μ MALDI-TOF. last2go μ μ μ μ swissprot. SwissProt (Bairoch μ μ Apweiler 2000) μ μ μ μ μ μ μ μ μ μ μ μ μ μ μ μ μ μ μ spot 49 μ Arabidopsis thaliana (At2g36530) LOS2 μ (Lee et al, 2002). μ **RD19** spot 70 Arabidopsis thaliana (At4g39090) μ μ (Bernoux, M. Et al 2008 & Coupe, S. A et al 2003) spot 73 acyl-binding protein μ ACBP6 Arabidopsis thaliana (At1g31812) μ μ , , (Xiao, S. Et al 2011) glutathione S-transferase 16 spot 74 μ ATGSTF3 (At2g02930) το οποίο συμμετέχει Arabidopsis thaliana μ .(David, μ μ μ μ P. D. et al 2011) To spot 167 E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase PUB23 ATPUB23 (At2g35930) Arabidopsis thaliana μμ μ μ μ μ μ μ μ μ μ

. (PAMP) (Seo, Dong Hye, et al. 2012 & Trujillo, M. et al. 2008)

, μ μ μ ()

spot 19transcription adapter ada2 μ Arabidopsis thalianaADA2b (At4g16420) (transcription adapter) μ μ μ ADA2b

PROPORZ 1 μ μ . (Anzola, J. M et al 2010 & Vlachonasios, K. et al 2011)

μ

spot 134 disease resistance protein RPS5 Arabidopsis thaliana (At1g12220) μ μ Pseudomonas syringae. (DeYoung, B. J. et al. 2012)

spot 42resistance to Pseudomonas syringae protein 3(RPM1)Arabidopsis thaliana (At3g07040) μμPseudomonas syringae (Rose, L. et al. 2012)

spot 180		Pathogenesis rela	6 μ			
Arabidopsis	thaliana	ATHCHI	B (At3g12500)	μ		
AaORA,	μ	μι	l	AP2/ERF		
	μ		μ	μ		
Botrytis cinerea.						

μ

spot 63 flavanone 3-hydroxylase, μ F3'H Arabidopsis thaliana (At3g51240) μ μ 3- - μ , , μ (Owens, D. et al 2008).

μ μ, μ

μ . μ 1.14.11.9 μ methyltransferase μ E.C. 2.1.1.128.

 μ F3'H μ E.C. (RS)-norcoclaurine 6-O-

μ μ , μ μ (Blokhina et al., 2003). μ Phyllyrea latifolia (Oleaceae) (Tattini and Gucci, 1999).

			μ	μ			μ		μ	
μ						μ.				
	μ	de novo						μ	•	
				,			μ	l		
	,						μ			
	μμ	ι μ	μ	μ		μ				
	.(Sc	hilmiller, A	A. L.	, et al. 200	8).			μ	μ	
						μ	VII, I, IV	VI		
Solanu	m. (Mc	Dowell, E.	T., et	al. 2011)						



ABSTRACT

Olive (Olea europaea L.) is one of the most important fruit crop trees in the history of Eastern Mediterranean. Olive is among the most economically important fruit crops because of the high quality oil. A number of results have correlated the olive oil consumption with the reduction of cardiovascular diseases and the breast cancer. This mainly results from its fatty acid composition, of olive oil and its nutritional or nutraceutical values which makes it exceptional in human diet content. However, a number of different molecules like secondary metabolites provide an additional and exceptional value to the olive oil. This is composed of sterols, tocopherols, carotenoids, biophenols, etc. which are making it antioxidant.

Leaf trichomes are specialized cell types known to have a number of phenols and secondary metabolites. In order to establish a holistic approach to verify the proteome of this highly differentiated cell type, we have isolated proteins. Different protein extraction protocols were used and the phenol extraction with methanol ammonium acetate precipitation gave the best results. Proteins were analysed using 2-D and annotated using MALDI-TOF method. The results showed that a number of enzymes involved in biochemical networks producing secondary metabolites are present. Different transcriptional factors regulating the biochemical networks and cell differentiation were also detected.

- 1. Aebersold R, Mann M. Mass spectrometry-based proteomics. Nature 2003) 422:198-207
- Amelunxen, F. 1964. Elektronen mikroskopische untersuchungen an den drusenhaaren von Mentha piperita. Planta med. 12: 121-139.
- Anzola, J. M., Sieberer, T., Ortbauer, M., Butt, H., Korbei, B., Weinhofer, I., & Luschnig, C. (2010). Putative Arabidopsis transcriptional adaptor protein (PROPORZ1) is required to modulate histone acetylation in response to auxin. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 107(22), 10308-10313.
- 4. Ascensao L, Francisco A, Cotrim H, Pais MS. 2005 Comparative structure of the labellum in *Ophrys fusca* and *O. lutea (Orchidaceae)*. Amer. J. Bot. 92. 1059-1067
- 5. Berkelman T, Stenstedt T. 1998 2D electrophoresis, Using immobilized pH gradients, Principles and Methods. *Amersham Biosciences*
- Bernoux, M., Timmers, T., Jauneau, A., Brière, C., de Wit, P. J., Marco, Y., & Deslandes, L. (2008). RD19, an Arabidopsis cysteine protease required for RRS1-R-mediated resistance, is relocalized to the nucleus by the Ralstonia solanacearum PopP2 effector. *The Plant Cell Online*, 20(8), 2252-2264.
- 7. **Bianchi G.**, Vlahov G., Anglani C. and Murelli C., (1993). Epicuticular wax of olive leaves. Phytochemistry 32 (1): 49 52
- 8. **Bini Maleci L**, Pinetti A, Servettaz O. 1995 Micromorphological and phytochemical characters of the two subspecies of Teucrium flavum (Labiatae) from the Italian flora. Flora. 190. 237-242.
- Bjellqvist B, Ek K, Righetti PG, Gianozzo E, Görg A, Westermeier R, Postel W: Isoelectric focusing in immobilized pH gradients : principles, methodology and some applications. J Biochem Biophys Methods 6: 1982 317-339
- 10. **Bongi, G.,** Mencuccini, M. and Fontanazza, G. 1987. phtosynthesis of olive leaves: effect of light flux density, leaf age, temperature, peltates, and H2O vapor pressure deficit on gas exchange. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sience 112(1): 143-148.
- 11. **Bradford MM** (1976) A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. Anal Biochem 72: 248–254
- 12. Briante, R.; Patumi, M.; Terenziani, S.; Bismuto, E.; Febbraio, F.; Nucci, R. Olea europaea L. leaf extract and derivatives: antioxidant properties. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2002, 50, 4934–4940
- Bryant JP, Chapin III FS and Klein RD. 1983. Carbon / nutrient balance of boreal plants in relation to vertebrate herbivory. OIKOS, 40: 357–368
- 14. **Bryant JP**, Chapin III FS, Reichardt P and Clausen T. 1987. Responses of winter chemical defense in Alaska paper birch and green alder to manipulation of plant carbon- nutrient balance. Oecologia, 72: 510–514
- 15. Carlquist, S. 1961. Comparative Plant Anatomy. Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York.
- 16. Chazau-Gillig, S. The civilization of the olive tree and cereals.Olive. 53-12 (1994)
- Cooks RG, Chen G, Wong P, Wollnik H.1997 Ion Trap Mass Spectrometry. Encl Appl Phys 19:289-297
- Coupe, S. A., Sinclair, B. K., Watson, L. M., Heyes, J. A., & Eason, J. R. (2003). Identification of dehydration-responsive cysteine proteases during post-harvest senescence of broccoli florets. *Journal of experimental botany*, 54(384), 1045-1056.
- 19. Davis and Hedge Festschrift. Edinburgh Universitty Press, Edinburgh, UK, pp 287–299
- David, P. D., Jonathan, D. S., & Robert, E. (2011). The Arabidopsis phi class glutathione transferase AtGSTF2: binding and regulation by biologically active heterocyclic ligands. *Biochemical Journal*, 438(1), 63-70.
- 21. **De Laurentis N**, Stefanizzi L, Milillo M–A and Tantillo G. 1998. Flavonoids from leaves of Olea europaea L. cultivars. Annales Pharmaceutiques Francaises, 56: 268–273
- 22. **De Nino A**, Lombardo N, Perri E, Procopio A, Raffaelli A and Sindona G. 1997. Direct identification of phenolic glucosides from olive leaf extracts by atmospheric pressure ionization tandem mass spectrometry. Journal of Mass Spectrometry, 32: 533–541

- DeYoung, B. J., Qi, D., Kim, S. H., Burke, T. P., & Innes, R. W. (2012). Activation of a plant nucleotide binding-leucine rich repeat disease resistance protein by a modified self protein. Cellular Microbiology, 14(7), 1071-1084.
- 24. **Dietmar, H.B. 1984.** Plant trichomes-structure and ultrastructure: general terminology, taxonomic applications and aspects of trichome bacteria interaction in leaf tips of Dioscorea. In: Biology and Chemistry of plant trichomes. Plenum Press, New York. pp. 1-21.
- 25. **Domon B**, Aebersold R. Mass Spectrometry and Protein Analysis. *Science* (2006) 312:212-217
- Ehleringer, J.R. 1984. Ecology and ecophysiology of leaf pubescence in north american desert plants. In: Biology and Chemistry of plant trichomes (eds. E. Rodriguez, P.L. Healy and I. Mehta), 113-132. Plenus Press, New York.
- 27. Esau, K. 1965. Plant Anatomy. John Wiley and sons, New York.
- 28. Evert, R. F. 2006. Esau's plant anatomy. Wiley, Hoboken, New Jersey, USA
- 29. Fahn, A. 1979. Secretory tissues in plants. Academic press. London.
- Fahn, A. 1986. Structure and functional properties of trichomes of xeromorfic leaves. Ann. Bot. 57: 631-637.
- 31. Fahn, A. 1991. Plant Anatomy. Pergamon press, Oxford
- 32. Fahn, A. and Cutler, D.F. 1992. Xerophytes. In: encyclopedia of plant anatomy, Gebruder Borntraeger, Berlin.
- Fahn, A. and Shimony, C. 1977 Development of glandular and non-glandular leaf hairs of Aviceniiia marnia (Forskal) Vierh. Bot. J. Linn. Soc. 74, 37–46.
- 34. Faust W,Z. and Jones, S.B. 1973. The systematic value of trichome complements in the North American group of Vernonia (Compositae). Rhodora 75: 517-528.
- Fenn JB, Mann M, Meng CK, Wong SF, Whitehouse CM. Electrospray ionization for mass spectrometry of large biomolecules. *Science* 1989 246:64-71
- 36. **Ficarra P**, Ficarra R, De Pasquale A, Monforte MT and Calabrò ML. 1991. HPLC analysis of oleuropein and some flavonoids in leaf and bud of Oea europaea L. Il Pharmaco, 46: 803–1
- 37. Fiorino, P. and F. Nizzi Griffi, The spread of olive farming. Olive. 44-9 (1992)
- Fountoulakis M. Applications of proteomics technologies in the investigation of the brain. Mass Spectrom Rev 2004 4:231-58
- 39. Furr Y, Mahlberg PG. 1981. Histochemical analysis of laticifers and glandular trichomes in *Cannabis sati.a. Journal of Natural Products (Lloydia)* 44: 153±159.
- 40. **Garfin, D.,** Heerdt, L. (Eds.), 2-D Electrophoresis for proteomics: A Methods and Product Manual. Bio-Rad Laboratories, Richmond, CA 2001, pp. 25–27.
- 41. Gariboldi P, Jommi G and Verotta L. 1986. Secoiridoids from Olea europaea. L Phytochemistry, 25: 865–869
- 42. Görg A, Weiss W, Dunn MJ. Current 2-dimensional electrophoresis technology for proteomics. *Proteomics* (2004) 4:3665-3685
- 43. Green PS, 2002 A revision of Olea L. (Oleaceae). Kew Bull 57:91–140
- 44. **Green PS., Wickens GE.**, 1989 The *Olea europaea* complex. In: Tan K (ed) The Davis and Hedge Festschrift. Edinburgh Universitty Press, Edinburgh, UK, pp 287–299
- 45. Gutterman, Y., 1997. Daylength affecting flowering and seed morphology of Spergularia diandra occurring in the Negev Desert, Israel. Journal of Arid Environments. 37, 611–622.
- 46. Haberland, G. 1914. Physiological Plant Anatomy. Mcmillan, London
- Harborne JB and Green PS. 1980. A chemotaxonomic survey of flavonoids in leaves of the Oleaceae. Botanical Journal of the Linnean Sociey, 81: 155–167
- 48. **Heimler D**, Cimato A, Alessandri S, Sani G and Pieroni A. 1996. Seasonal trend of flavonoids in olive (Olea europaea L.) leaves. Agricultura Mediterranea, 126: 205–209
- 49. **Heimler D**, Pieroni A, Tattini M and Cimato A. 1992. Determination of flavonoids, flavonoid glycosides and biflavonoids in (Olea europaea L). leaves. Chromatographia, 33: 369–373
- Hillenkamp F, Karas M, Beavis RC, Chait BT. Matrix-Assisted Laser/Desorption Ionization Mass Spectrometry of Biopolymers. *Anal Chem* (1991) 63:1193A-1203A
- 51. Huber LA. Is proteomics heading in the wrong direction? Nature 2003 4:74-80
- 52. **Inamdar JA**, Patel KS, Patel RC. 1973 Studies on plasmodesmata in the trichomes and leaf epidermis of some Asclepiadaceae. Ann. Bot. 37. 657-60.

- 53. Johnson LAS. 1957. A review of the family Oleaceae. Contributions from the New South Wales National Herbarium 2: 397–418.
- Karabourniotis G, Kofidis G, Fasseas C, Liakoura V and Drossopoulos I. 1998. Polyphenol deposition in leaf hairs of Olea europaea (Oleaceae) and Quercus ilex (Fagaceae). American Journal of Botany, 85: 1007–1012
- 55. **Karabourniotis G**, Papastergiou N, Kabanopoulou E and Fasseas C. 1994. Foliar sclereids of Olea europaea may function as optical fibres. Canadian Jounal of Botany, 72: 330–336
- 56. **Karamessinis MP.** Modulation of cell matrix interactions in diabetic nephropathy. *Ph.D. Thesis* 2004
- 57. Karas M, Bachmann D, Bahr U, Hillenkamp F. Matrix-Assisted Ultraviolet Laser Desorption of Non-Volatile Compounds. *Int J Mass Spectrom Ion Processes* 1987 78:53-68
- Karas M, Hillenkamp F. Laser ionization of proteins with molecular masses exceeding 10000 daltons. *Anal Chem* 1988 60:22993201
- 59. Kelsey, G.R., Reynolds, W.G. and Rodrigez, E. 1984. The chemistry of biologically active constituents secreted and stored in lant glandular trichomes. In: Biology and Chemistry of plant trichomes. (Rodriguez, e., healey, p., mehta, i., eds.) Plenum Press, New York pp. 187-241.
- 60. Kinter M, Sherman NE. 2000 Protein sequencing and identification using tandem mass spectrometry. *ISBN 0-471-32249-0*
- 61. **Korfmacher WA.** Principles and applications of LC-MS in new drug discovery. *Drug Discov Today* 2005 10:1357-67
- 62. **Kruger, Nicholas J.** "The Bradford method for protein quantitation." *Basic protein and peptide protocols*. Humana Press, 1994. 9-15.
- Kuwajima H, Uemura T, Takaishi K, Inoue K and Inoue H. 1988. A secoiridoid glucoside from Olea europaea. Phytochemistry, 27: 1757–1759
- Laemmli, UK. (1970) Cleavage of structural proteins during the assembly of the head of bacteriophage T4. Nature 227 (5259):680-685.
- 65. Lahm HW, Langen H. Mass Spectrometry: a tool for the identification of proteins separated by gels. *Electrophoresis* 2000 21:2105-2114
- 66. Le Floch F, Tena MT, Ríos A, and Valcárcel M. 1998. Supercritical fluid extraction of phenol compounds from olive leaves. Talanta, 46: 1123–1130
- 67. Le Tutour B and Guedon D. 1992. Antioxidative activities of Olea europaea and related phenolic compounds. Phytochemistry, 31: 1173–1178
- 68. Lewin, D.A.1973. The role of trichomes in plant defence. Quart. Rev. Biol. 48: 3-12.
- Liakopoulos G, Stavrianakou S and Karabourniotis G. 2001. Analysis of epicuticular phenolics of Prunus persica and Olea europaea leaves: Evidence for the chemical origin of the UV – induced blue fluorescence of stomata. Annals of Botany, 87: 641–648
- Loukas, M. and C.B. Krimbas, 1983 History of olive cultivars based on their genetic distances. J. Hort. Science. 58-121.
- Lee, H., Guo, Y., Ohta, M., Xiong, L., Stevenson, B., & Zhu, J. K. (2002). LOS2, a genetic locus required for cold-responsive gene transcription encodes a bi-functional enolase. *Science Signaling*, 21(11), 2692.
- 72. Li, W., Dai, L., & Wang, G. L. (2012). PUB13, a U-box/ARM E3 ligase, regulates plant defense, cell death, and flowering time. *Plant Signaling & Behavior*, 7(8), 898-900.
- MacCoss MJ 2005 Computational analysis of shotgun proteomics data. Curr Opin Chem Biol 9:88-94
- Manos P.S. 1993 Foliar trichome variation in Quercus section Protobalanus (Fagaceae). SIDA 15(3): 391-403
- 75. March RE, Hughes RJ. Quadrupole Storage Mass Spectrometry. Wiley (1989)
- March RE. An introduction to Quadrupole Ion Trap Mass Spectrometry. J Mass Spectrom 1997 32:351-369
- 77. Marzo A, Bo LD. Tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS-MS): a predominant role in bioassays for pharmacokinetic studies. *Arzneimittelforschung* 2007 57:122-8

- McDowell, E. T., Kapteyn, J., Schmidt, A., Li, C., Kang, J. H., Descour, A., ... & Gang, D. R. (2011). Comparative functional genomic analysis of Solanum glandular trichome types. Plant physiology, 155(1), 524-539.
- 79. Metcalfe, C.R. and Chalk, l. 1979. Anatomy of the dicotyledons. Vol 1. 2nd edn. Clarendon Press. Oxford
- Miller, C.E. 1931. Plant Physiology. McGraw-Hill book company, inc, New York. pp.342-344
- 81. **Nenhuis, C. and Bartlhott, W.** 1997. The tree leaf surfase; structure and function. In: Trees-Constributions to Modern Tree physiology (Rennenberg, H., Eschrich, W. and Ziegler, H. eds.) Backhuys Publishers, Leiden, Netherlands. pp.3-18.
- 82. Nobel, P.S. 1983. Biophysical Plant Physiology and Ecology. Freeman and co., San Fransisco
- 83. O' Farell PH. High resolution two-dimensional electrophoresis of proteins. J Biol Chemistry 1975 250:4007
- Owens, D. K., Crosby, K. C., Runac, J., Howard, B. A., & Winkel, B. S. (2008). Biochemical and genetic characterization of i> Arabidopsis</i>
 flavanone 3 -hydroxylase. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry*, 46(10), 833-843.
- 85. **Pereira A. P.,** Ferreira I. C.F.R., Marcelino F., Valentao P., Andrade P. B., Seabra R., Estevinho L., Bento A., and Pereira J. A. 2007 Phenolic Compounds and Antimicrobial Activity of Olive (Olea europaea L. Cv. Cobrançosa) Leaves Molecules 12, 1153-1162
- 86. **Perkins DN**, Pappin DJ, Creasy DM, Cottrel JS 1999 Probability–based protein identification by searching sequence databases using mass spectrometry data. Electrophoresis 20:3551-3567
- 87. Peterson, R.L. and Vermeer, J. 1984. Histochemistry of trichomes. In: Biology and Chemistry of Plant trichomes. Plenum Press, New York. pp.71-94.
- Pieroni A, Heimler D, Pieters L, van Poel B and Vlietinck AJ. 1996. In vitro anti complementary activity of flavonoids from olive (Olea europaea L.) leaves. Pharmazie, 51: 765–767
- Ragazzi E, Veronese G and Guiotto A. 1973. Demetiloleoeuropeina, nuovo glucoside isolato da olive mature. Annalytica Chimica, 63: 13–15
- Rapisarda, A., Galati, E.M., Tzakou, O., Flores, M., Miceli, N., 2001. *Nepeta sibthorpii* Bentham (Lamiaceae): micromorphological analysis of leaves and flowers. Il Farmaco 56, 413–415.
- Romeis, J., Babendreier, D., Wackers, F. L., and Shanower, G. 2005. Habitat and plant specificity of Trichogramma egg parasitoids - Underlying mechanisms and implications. Basic Appl. Ecol. 3:215–236.
- Romeis, J., Shanower, T. G., & Peter, A. J. (1999a). Trichomes of pigeonpea (Cajanus cajan (L.) Millsp.) and two wild Cajanus spp. Crop Science, 39, 564–569.
- 93. **Rose, L.**, Atwell, S., Grant, M., & Holub, E. B. (2012). Parallel loss-of-function at the RPM1 bacterial resistance locus in Arabidopsis thaliana. Frontiers in plant science, 3.
- Ryan D, Antolovich M, Prenzler P, Robards K, Lavee S 2002. Biotransformations of phenolic compounds in *Olea europaea* L. Scientia Horticulturae vol 92: 147–176
- 95. **Ryan D**, Robards K, Prenzler P, Jardine D, Herlt T and Antonovich M. 1999. Liquid chromatography with electrospray ionisation mass spectrometric detection of phenolic compounds from Olea europaea. Journal of Chromatography A, 855: 529–537
- Sànches-Tinoco, M. Y. & M. Engleman. 2004. Seed coat anatomy of Ceratozamia Mexicana (Cycadales). The Botanical Review 70: 24–38.
- 97. Schilmiller, A. L., Last, R. L., & Pichersky, E. (2008). Harnessing plant trichome biochemistry for the production of useful compounds. The Plant Journal, 54(4), 702-711.
- Seo, D. H., Ryu, M. Y., Jammes, F., Hwang, J. H., Turek, M., Kang, B. G., ... & Kim, W. T. (2012). Roles of Four Arabidopsis U-Box E3 Ubiquitin Ligases in Negative Regulation of Abscisic Acid-Mediated Drought Stress Responses. Plant physiology, 160(1), 556-568.
- Soler–Rivas C, Espin JC and Wichers HJ. 2000. Oleuropein and related compounds. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture, 80: 1013–1023
- 100.Soreder, H. 1908 Systematic Anatomy of the Dicotyledons. Stuttgart: Ferdinand Enke, pp 1211

- 101.Swain, T. 1977. Secondary compounds as protective agents. Ann. Rev. Plant Physiol. 28: 479-501.
- 102. Taiz, L. and Zieger, E. 1991. Plant Physiology. Bengamin/ Cummings Publ. Co. Inc., Redwood City.
- 103. Tang N, Tornatore P, Weinberger SR. Current Developments in SELDI Affinity Technology. Mass Spec Rev (2004) 23:34-44
- 104. **Theobald W.L.**, J. L. Krahulik and R. C. Rollins, 1979 Trichome description and classification. In: Anatomy of the Dicotyledons, 2nd ed., vol. I, Systematic Anatomy of Leaf and Stem, with a Brief History of the Subject, pp. 40–53, C. R. Metcalfe and L. Chalk. Clarendon Press, Oxford
- 105. **Trujillo, M.**, Ichimura, K., Casais, C., & Shirasu, K. (2008). Negative Regulation of PAMP-Triggered Immunity by an E3 Ubiquitin Ligase. Current Biology, 18, 1396-1401.
- 106. Uphof JC Th, Hummel K. 1962. Plant hairs. In: Zimmerman W, Ozenda PG, eds. *Encyclopedia of plant anatomy*. Bd. 4, Teil 5. Berlin: Gebruder Borntraeger
- 107. Vlachonasios, K. E., Kaldis, A., Nikoloudi, A., & Tsementzi, D. (2011). The role of transcriptional coactivator ADA2b in Arabidopsis abiotic stress responses. *Plant Signaling & Behavior*, 6(10), 1475-1478.
- 108. Vlahou A, Fountoulakis M. What does it mean to identify a protein in proteomics. *Journal of chromatography B* 2005 814:11-19
- 109. Wager G.J. 1991. Secretory gradular trichomes: more than just hairs. Plant Physiol. 96: 675-679
- 110. Whitehouse CM, Dreyer RN, Yamashita M, Fenn JB. Electrospray interface for LCMS. Anal Chem 1985 57:675-679
- 111.Xiao, S., & Chye, M. L. (2011). New roles for acyl-CoA-binding proteins (ACBPs) in plant development, stress responses and lipid metabolism. *Progress in lipid research*, 50(2), 141-151.

:

- 112. , .. 1992. μ
- . . .

113. . 1994. . μ , :

- 114. **Dai, X.,** Wang, G., Yang, D.S., Tang, Y., Broun, P., Marks, M.D., Sumner, L.W., Dixon, R.A., and Zhao, P.X. (2010). TrichOME comparative omics database for plant trichomes. Plant Physiol. 152:44–54
- 115.**Kang, J. H.**, Shi, F., Jones, A. D., Marks, M. D., & Howe, G. A. (2010). Distortion of trichome morphology by the hairless mutation of tomato affects leaf surface chemistry. *Journal of experimental botany*, *61*(4), 1053-1064.





7.2



7.3



